arXiv:1511.01374v1 [math.CV] 4 Nov 2015

DISTRIBUTIONAL BOUNDARY VALUES : SOME NEW PERSPECTIVES

DEBRAJ CHAKRABARTI AND RASUL SHAFIKOV

1. Boundary values of holomorphic functions as currents

Given a domain in a complex space, it is a fundamental problem to identify the class of boundary values of holomorphic functions on the domain. This notion is widely used in complex analysis, from the Cauchy integral formula to characterization of boundaries of complex subvarieties (Harvey-Lawson [HL75]). For smoothly bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, boundary values are usually understood as a subclass of the so-called CR functions on the boundary, i.e., those satisfying the tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations. If the boundary is of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, then one may consider the Cauchy-Riemann equations in the weak sense, which gives rise to CR distributions. It is known (cf. [Str84]) that for a bounded domain with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ boundary in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n > 1$, every holomorphic function of polynomial growth (i.e., the growth of the function near the boundary is bounded by some power of the distance to the boundary) admits a boundary value which is a CR distribution. Distributional boundary values on generic CR submanifolds of higher codimension exist also for holomorphic functions of polynomial growth defined on a wedge attached to the submanifold, (see [BER99]). There is also a parallel theory of generalized functions, the Sato hyperfunctions, which allows one to consider boundary values of arbitrary holomorphic functions on domains with real-analytic boundaries (cf. [PW78]).

It is natural to ask whether a notion of generalized boundary values of holomorphic functions exists for domains with nonsmooth boundary. At the outset it is clear that as we reduce the regularity of the boundary, the class of holomorphic functions which admit boundary values would also become smaller. In [CS] we define boundary values as $(0,1)$-currents in the ambient manifold satisfying certain conditions. This approach allows us to define boundary values on domains not necessarily with smooth boundary, in particular prove the existence of boundary values on domains with generic corners. To formulate this result, assume that Ω is a relatively compact domain in a complex manifold $\mathfrak{M}$ given in the form $\Omega = \bigcap_{j=1}^N \Omega_j$, where each $\Omega_j \subset \mathfrak{M}$ is a smoothly bounded domain. If for each subset $S \subset \{1,\dots,N\}$ the intersection $B_S = \bigcap_{j\in S} b\Omega_j$, if non-empty, is a CR manifold of CR-dimension $n - |S|$, we say that Ω is a *domain with generic corners.* The primary example of domains with generic corners are product domains. We denote by $\operatorname{dist}(z, X)$ the distance from a point $z \in \mathfrak{M}$ to a set X induced by some metric on $\mathfrak{M}$ compatible with its topology. We say that a holomorphic $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is of *polynomial growth* if there is a $C > 0$ and $k \geqslant 0$ such that we have for each $z \in \Omega$ that

$$|f(z)| \leqslant \frac{C}{\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)^k}.$$

We denote the space of holomorphic functions of polynomial growth on Ω by $\mathcal{A}^{-\infty}(\Omega)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46F20, 32A40.

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#316632 to Debraj Chakrabarti). Debraj Chakrabarti was also partially supported by an Early Career internal grant from Central Michigan University. Rasul Shafikov was partially supported by an NSERC grant.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a domain with generic corners in a complex manifold $\mathfrak{M}$ of complex dimension n, and let $f \in \mathcal{A}^{-\infty}(\Omega)$. There is a $(0,1)$-current $\mathsf{bc}f \in \mathcal{D}'_{0,1}(\mathfrak{M})$ such that the following holds. If U is a coordinate neighbourhood of $\mathfrak{M}$, and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{n,n-1}(\mathfrak{M})$ is a smooth $(n, n-1)$ form which has support in U, and there is a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that in the coordinates on U, the vector v points outward from Ω along each $\partial\Omega_j$ inside U, then we have*

$$\langle \mathsf{bc}f, \psi\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_\epsilon \psi, \tag{1.1}$$

where $f_\epsilon(z) = f(z - \epsilon v)$.

For proof and further discussion, see [CS]. It is also shown there that provided $\mathsf{bc}f$ exists, it is unique. We refer to $\mathsf{bc}f$ as the *boundary current* induced by the holomorphic function f of polynomial growth. It is immediate from the formula (1.1) that for holomorphic functions that extend continuously to $\partial\Omega$ we simply have $\mathsf{bc}f = f[\partial\Omega]^{0,1}$, where $[\partial\Omega]$ is the 1-current of integration on $\partial\Omega$, i.e., $\langle[\partial\Omega], \phi\rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi$ for a smooth $(2n-1)$-form ϕ of compact support, and for a 1-current γ, we denote by $\gamma^{0,1}$ the $(0,1)$-part of this current. One can also see by a use of Stokes' formula that for a holomorphic function f on Ω, which belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$ (with respect to any Riemannian measure on $\mathfrak{M}$), we have $\mathsf{bc}f = -\overline{\partial}(f[\Omega])$, where $[\Omega]$ is the 0-current of integration on Ω. This even makes sense when Ω is an arbitrary open relatively compact subset of $\mathfrak{M}$.

It natural therefore to ask whether in Theorem 1.1 the condition of generic corners on the domain Ω is necessary or not. It turns out that if we want all holomorphic functions of polynomial growth on Ω to have boundary currents, then the condition that the boundary of Ω has generic corners is necessary, and the proof of this fact is the main result of this note:

Proposition 1.2. *There is a complex manifold $\mathfrak{M}$, a piecewise smooth domain (with non-generic corners) $\Omega \Subset \mathfrak{M}$ and a holomorphic function f of polynomial growth on Ω, such that $\mathsf{bc}f$ does not exist.*

In a later note, we will show that much more is true: on each piecewise smooth domain with non-generic corners, there is a holomorphic function of polynomial growth which does not admit a boundary current.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\Omega = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |x-1| < 1, |y-1| < 1\}.$$

We will show that the function $f(z) = z^{-2}$, which is holomorphic in Ω and is of polynomial growth there, does not admit the boundary value current as defined in Theorem 1.1. Suppose to the contrary that $\mathsf{bc}f$ exists. Let $U = \{|z| < \frac{3}{2}\}$. The vector $v = -(1+i)$ points outward from Ω along $\partial\Omega \cap U$, and therefore, for each $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{1,0}(U)$, we have

$$\langle \mathsf{bc}f, \psi\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_\epsilon \psi,$$

where $f_\epsilon(z) = f(z - \epsilon v)$. We choose ψ to be $x\,dz$ in a neighbourhood of the closed unit disc $\{|z| \leqslant 1\}$ and vanishing outside U. We will show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\downarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi}{(z-\epsilon v)^2} \tag{1.2}$$

does not exist, this will disprove the existence of $\mathsf{bc}f$. Writing

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi}{(z-\epsilon v)^2} = \int_{\partial\Omega\cap\{|z|\leqslant 1\}} \frac{\psi}{(z-\epsilon v)^2} + \int_{\partial\Omega\cap\{|z|>1\}} \frac{\psi}{(z-\epsilon v)^2},$$

we note that the second integral remains bounded as $\epsilon \to 0$, so it suffices to show that the first integral goes to infinity as $\epsilon \to 0$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega\cap\{|z|\leqslant 1\}} \frac{\psi}{(z-\epsilon v)^2} &= \int_{\partial\Omega\cap\{|z|\leqslant 1\}} \frac{x(dx+idy)}{(z+\epsilon+i\epsilon)^2} \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{xdx}{(x+\epsilon+i\epsilon)^2} \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{x^2(x+2\epsilon)dx}{((x+\epsilon)^2+\epsilon^2)^2} - 2i\epsilon\int_0^1 \frac{(x^2+\epsilon x)dx}{((x+\epsilon)^2+\epsilon^2)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the real part of the last line, which we write as

$$I + II = \int_0^1 \frac{x^3dx}{((x+\epsilon)^2+\epsilon^2)^2} + 2\epsilon\int_0^1 \frac{x^2dx}{((x+\epsilon)^2+\epsilon^2)^2}.$$

Direct computation shows that

$$\int \frac{x^3dx}{((x+\epsilon)^2+\epsilon^2)^2} = \frac{1}{2}\ln(x^2+2x\epsilon+2\epsilon^2) - 2\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x+\epsilon}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{\epsilon x}{x^2+2x\epsilon+2\epsilon^2} + C.$$

Therefore,

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{-2}+\epsilon^{-1}+1\right) - 2\tan^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1}+1) + \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+2\epsilon+\epsilon^2}.$$

As $\epsilon \to 0$, the first term goes to infinity and the other terms converge to finite limits. Therefore, the integral I goes to infinity as $\epsilon \to 0$. On the other hand,

$$\int \frac{x^2dx}{((x+\epsilon)^2+\epsilon^2)^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x+\epsilon}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{x^2+2x\epsilon+2\epsilon^2},$$

so that

$$II = 2\left(\tan^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1}+1) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + 2\epsilon\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{1+2\epsilon+2\epsilon^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

As $\epsilon \to 0+$, the integral II converges to the limit $\dfrac{\pi}{2}$. This shows that the limit in (1.2) does not exist, since its real part goes to $+\infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Therefore $\mathsf{bc}\, f$ cannot be defined.

□

Consider now the domain of the form $\Omega \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{C}^2_{(z_1,z_2)}$ which does not have a generic corner at the origin. From above computations, it follows that the function $\frac{1}{z_1^2}$ does not admit the boundary current. This gives examples of nonexistence at nongeneric corners in higher dimensions.

2. An open problem: the global extension phenomenon

One of the important aspects of the theory of boundary values is the reconstruction property, i.e., restoring the function from its values on the boundary. Such a problem can be posed in both a local and global version. For a CR function on the smooth connected boundary of a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ the global extension to the domain as a holomorphic function may be obtained by means of the Bochner-Martinelli integral (see, e.g., [Kyt95]). This is known in the literature as the Bochner-Hartogs phenomenon, and can be viewed as a generalization of classical Hartogs' Kugelsatz. For boundary currents defined as in Theorem 1.1 the problem is two-fold: first one needs to identify the class of currents in $\mathcal{D}'_{0,1}(\mathfrak{M})$ that are boundary values of holomorphic functions of polynomial growth (i.e., to determine the range of the operator bc), and secondly to reconstruct

the holomorphic function given any current in that class. While this problem is open for general piecewise smooth domains, in [CS] we are able to solve it for product domains. Here we give a short account of our result, the details may be found in [CS].

Let $\mathfrak{M}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_N$ be complex manifolds, and $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}_N$. Let $D_j \Subset \mathfrak{M}_j$ be a domain with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-smooth boundary, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then $\Omega = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_N$ is a product domain in our sense. We also set

$$\Omega_j = \mathfrak{M}_1 \times \cdots \times D_j \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}_N, \tag{2.1}$$

and observe that $\Omega = \bigcap_{j=1}^N \Omega_j$. It is easy to see that each corner is a CR manifold, and so Ω has generic corners. We define the subspace $\mathcal{Y}^{0,1}_\Omega(\mathfrak{M})$ of $\mathcal{D}'_{0,1}(\mathfrak{M})$ as follows. A current $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}'_{0,1}(\mathfrak{M})$ belongs to $\mathcal{Y}^{0,1}_\Omega(\mathfrak{M})$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) γ satisfies the *Weinstock condition* with respect to Ω, i.e., for $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{n,n-1}(\mathfrak{M})$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}\omega = 0 \text{ on } \overline{\Omega} \implies \langle \gamma, \omega \rangle = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

This is a generalization of the usual tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations for the boundary values of holomorphic functions, in fact, for domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with connected complement, the Weinstock condition is equivalent to γ being $\overline{\partial}$-closed.

(2) Suppose that the piecewise smooth domain Ω is represented as an intersection of smoothly bounded domains. Let

$$\iota^j : \partial\Omega_j \to \mathfrak{M}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \tag{2.3}$$

be the inclusion maps. Then there exist distributions $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}'_0(\partial\Omega_j)$ with support in $\partial\Omega_j \cap \overline{\Omega}$ such that we can write

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\iota^j_*(\alpha_j)\right)^{0,1}. \tag{2.4}$$

We will call the distributions $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ the *face distributions* associated with the current γ.

(3) The third condition, which we call *canonicality of face distributions* is rather technical, and cannot be stated precisely without introducing some relevant technical notions. A full explanation may be found in [CS]. Informally, it can be understood as follows. Given a function $f \in \mathcal{A}^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ on a smooth domain, there exists the extension of f as a distribution in $\mathcal{D}'_0(\mathfrak{M})$ with the property that it vanishes outside $\overline{\Omega}$ and its values on $\partial\Omega$ are determined in a limit process from the values in Ω, similar to that in Theorem 1.1. This is called the *canonical extension* of f. A similar canonical extension exists for the distributions $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}'_0(\partial\Omega_j)$ defined by (2.4). The condition now is that the canonical extensions of α_j agree with α_j. In particular, this condition ensures that one can talk about boundary values of the face distributions themselves along higher codimensional strata.

We note that all three conditions above are satisfied by boundary currents of holomorphic functions. In fact, we have the following characterization of the distributional boundary values of holomorphic functions on product domains:

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a product domain as above. Then for each $f \in \mathcal{A}^{-\infty}(\Omega)$, we have $\mathsf{bc} f \in \mathcal{Y}^{0,1}_\Omega(\mathfrak{M})$, and the map*

$$\mathsf{bc} : \mathcal{A}^{-\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{Y}^{0,1}_\Omega(\mathfrak{M})$$

is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

We remark that for a smoothly bounded domain Ω the third condition is void, and the second condition simply means that there exists a distribution $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}'_0(\partial\Omega)$ such that $\gamma = \iota_*(\alpha)^{0,1}$. This has a simple geometric interpretation: if a $(n, n-1)$-form ϕ vanishes on $\partial\Omega$, then $\gamma(\phi) = 0$. In particular this means that for smoothly bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, the boundary values of holomorphic functions defined as currents are completely equivalent to boundary values viewed as CR distributions.

Note that conditions (1) and (2) above make sense in any piecewise smooth domain. Therefore, we can formulate a more precise version of the problem of global extension in the following form:

Open problem: *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a complex manifold, and let $\Omega \Subset \mathfrak{M}$ be a domain with generic corners. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}'_{0,1}(\mathfrak{M})$ be a current which satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) above, i.e., the Weinstock condition, and the fact that γ can be represented in terms of face distributions α_j on the faces of the domain. What further condition do we need to impose on γ, so that there is a holomorphic function f on Ω with $\gamma = \mathsf{bc} f$?*

References

[BER99] M. Salah Baouendi, Peter Ebenfelt, and Linda Preiss Rothschild. *Real submanifolds in complex space and their mappings*, volume 47 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.

[CS] Debraj Chakrabarti and Rasul Shafikov. Distributional boundary values of holomorphic functions on product domains.(Preprint). Available online at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.01230`.

[HL75] F. Reese Harvey and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. On boundaries of complex analytic varieties. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 102(2):223–290, 1975.

[Kyt95] Alexander M. Kytmanov. *The Bochner-Martinelli integral and its applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995. Translated from the Russian by Harold P. Boas and revised by the author.

[PW78] John C. Polking and R. O. Wells, Jr. Boundary values of Dolbeault cohomology classes and a generalized Bochner-Hartogs theorem. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 47:3–24, 1978. Special issue dedicated to the seventieth birthday of Erich Kähler.

[Str84] Emil J. Straube. Harmonic and analytic functions admitting a distribution boundary value. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 11(4):559–591, 1984.

Department of Mathematics, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859, USA
E-mail address: `chakr2d@cmich.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7
E-mail address: `shafikov@uwo.ca`